UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,914	11/14/2005	Gert Droesbeke	003D.0068.U1(US)	6534
_,	7590 05/07/200 N & SMITH, PC	EXAMINER		
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE	NGO, HUNG V		
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/539,914	DROESBEKE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Hung V. Ngo	2831		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 € This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 15-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be a should be acceptable. The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the defendance of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 37 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the die cast shielding case" (lines 8, 9) lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15-20, 25-31, 36, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cunningham (US 6,351,394).

Re claim 15, Cunningham discloses a shielding cage (10) comprising:

a plurality of walls (14, 16); and one or more integrated or integral mounting tails on said walls (22), wherein said mounting tails are adapted for mounting said shielding cage to a circuit board (28), wherein said mounting tails are flexible (functioned as claimed), and wherein the shielding cage is a die-cast member (col. 2, lines 3-5).

Re claims 26, Cunningham discloses a shielding cage comprising:

a plurality of walls (14, 16); and one or more integrated or integral mounting tails (22) on at least one of said walls adapted for mounting said shielding cage to a circuit board (28), wherein said mounting tails are flexible to provide relief of shear stress developing as a result of the difference of thermal expansion coefficient between the

Application/Control Number: 10/539,914

Art Unit: 2831

circuit board and the die cast shielding cage (Functioned as claimed), and wherein said walls and mounting tails comprise a die cast member (col. 2, lines 3-5) (abstract).

Re claim 37, Cunningham disclose a shielding cage comprising:

a plurality of walls (14, 16) and one or more integrated or integral mounting tails (22) on at least one of said walls adapted for mounting said shielding cage to a circuit board (28), wherein said mounting tails are flexible for relief of the push/pull forces developing as a result of different thermal expansion coefficient between the circuit board and the shielding cage (functioned as claimed), and wherein said walls and said mounting tails are parts of a single die cast member (col. 2, line 3-5)(Fig 1).

Re claims 16, 27 wherein the shielding cage comprises receiving structures adapted to integrate said integrated mounting tails (Fig 1).

Re claims 17, 28 wherein the receiving structure is adapted to receive a metal strip having one or more of said mounting tails (Fig 1).

Re claims 18, 29 wherein said mounting tails are sheet metal SMT tails (Fig 1).

Re claims 19, 30 wherein at least one of said walls comprises an insertion stop structure (Fig 2).

Re claims 20, 31, wherein said insertion stop structure is provided outside the region of said mounting tail (Fig 2).

Re claims 21, 32, at least one position pillar (20)

Re claims 25, 36, wherein the shielding cage is adapted for covering a header and comprises a structure adapted for receiving attachment means of a cable connector to be connected to said header (capable of functioning as claimed)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benzoni (US 5,416,668) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Re claims 15, 26, 37 Benzoni discloses a plurality of walls (26, 28, 30, 32) and comprising one or more integrated or integral mounting tails (62, 90, 100) adapted for mounting said shielding cage to a circuit board (22), wherein said mounting tails are flexible and capable of providing a relief of stress of the push/pull forces (functioned as claimed).

Re claims 16, 27 wherein the shielding cage comprises receiving structures (24, 48) adapted to integrate said integrated mounting tails.

Re claims 17, 28 wherein the receiving structure is adapted to receive a metal strip having one or more of said mounting tails (Fig 1).

Re claims 18, 29 wherein said mounting tails are sheet metal SMT tails (Fig 2, 3, 4).

Re claims 19, 30 wherein at least one of said walls comprises an insertion stop structure (60).

Re claims 20, 31, wherein said insertion stop structure is provided outside the region of said mounting tail (Fig 4).

Re claims 21, 32, at least one position pillar (48, 50)

Re claims 25, 36, wherein the shielding cage is adapted for covering a header (Fig 1) and comprises a structure adapted for receiving attachment means of a cable connector to be connected to said header (Fig 2)

The teaching of Benzoni as discussed above including a plurality of extensions (60) (re claim 24, 35), but does not disclose the shielding cage being a die cast member (re claim 15), the walls and mounting tails comprise a die cast member (re claim 26), the walls and mounting tails are parts of a single die cast member (re claim 37), the shielding cage is made of a die cast material having a thermal expansion coefficient substantially equal to the thermal expansion coefficient of the circuit board, the die cast material made of brass (re claims 22, 23, 33, 34).

Re claims 15, 26, 37, AAPA disclose die cast shielding cages are known. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the walls, shielding cage, and mounting tails of Benzoni by employing die cast process for intended use.

Re claims 22-24, 33-35, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use brass for the shielding cage of Benzoni, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to

select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15, 26, 37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2800 EXT 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,914 Page 7

Art Unit: 2831

/Hung V Ngo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2831